

From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: DHS/HHS support update (FOUO)
Date: Tuesday, July 3, 2018 9:00:33 AM

My understanding it either came from the garrison commander after our 130 discussion yesterday or the attorneys brought it up.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 3, 2018, at 8:21 AM, (b) (6) wrote:
>
> (b) (6)
>
> Thanks - I'm tracking all except your statement that "Bliss is requesting that
> DHS be required to sign the REC considering DHS - not Army - is the proponent
> for this action". How and when did this become the Bliss position?
>

> v/r,
>
> (b) (6)
> HQ IMCOM G4
> Chief, Environmental Division (IMPW-E)
> (b) (6)
> (b) (6)
>
>
>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: (b) (6)
> Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2018 6:58 AM
> To: (b) (6)
> (b) (6)
> (b) (6)

> Subject: Fwd: DHS/HHS support update (FOUO)

> (b) (6) - FYSA below.
>
>
>

> (b) (6)
> Director for Environmental Quality
> Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army
> for Installations, Energy and Environment
> 110 Army Pentagon
> Room 3D453
> Washington, DC 20310-1001
> (b) (6)
> (b) (6)
>
>

> Begin forwarded message:
>
>

> From: (b) (6)

> Date: July 3, 2018 at 7:55:14 AM EDT

> To: (b) (6)

> Cc: (b) (6)

> Subject: Re: DHS/HHS support update (FOUO)

>

>

> Hi (b) (6) we had a call with Ft Bliss environmental, IMCOM and AEC yesterday.

> Fort Bliss believes they can address NEPA with a REC tiered off prior EA and

> EIS documents. I understand that Army lawyers agree. Bliss is requesting

> that DHS be required to sign the REC considering DHS - not Army - is the

> proponent for this action. Other environmental considerations at this time

> include the requirement for a CAA permit amendment if generators are the

> source of power, and stormwater permitting. Information on power source and

> number of generators will be needed. The area under consideration has already

> been surveyed for historic properties and through the NHPA Section 106 process

> with SHPO. There were archeological remains found that were determined to be

> not eligible for listing on the National Register, and there are segments of

> an NR eligible trail on the extreme north end of the parcel that will be

> avoided. There are no ESA listed species present on the parcel. Per our

> discussion with Bliss environmental, IMCOM and AEC yesterday, bottom line

> according to them is that there are no known environmental showstoppers at

> this time. However, it is uncertain that the preordained timeline will be met

> especially if a CAA permit amendment is required since there will be

> preparation and processing time involved from both Bliss and the state.

> Other considerations include: removal of some illegally dumped solid waste

> from the area such as tires and construction debris, and any delays that could

> result from the inadvertent discovery of archeological remains during

> construction.

>

> Please pass this information on and keep us advised - it is important that the

> proponent for this action be properly assigned including document signature

> responsibilities, and that the reimbursement issues are addressed in the

> agreements being developed.

>

> (b) (6)

>

> (b) (6)

> Director for Environmental Quality

> Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army

> for Installations, Energy and Environment

> 110 Army Pentagon

> Room 3D453
> Washington, DC 20310-1001

> (b) (6)

[REDACTED]

>

> On Jul 2, 2018, at 4:49 PM, (b) (6)

[REDACTED] wrote:

>

> (b) (6)

>

> There is an OSD(Policy) package requesting SECDEF approval for the DoD mission
> to support DHS and HHS housing/sheltering. The package is in SECDEF's office
> as of today's 11am OSD(Policy) Housing Support call. I will share the final
> package when I have it.

>

> The OSD(P) understanding is that SECDEF intends for DoD to have support ready
> on schedule with initial request even if HHS and DHS shift the schedule the
> right.

>

> There are a couple of senior level White House meetings on housing support
> scheduled this week.

>

> MOU and funding - there are discussions at the OSD (Comptroller) and the
> attorneys about using the Economy Act for DHS and HHS to fund DoD/Military
> Services. Folks are working on MOUs and encouraged to expedite. My
> understanding is that the DHS and HHS support requirements are still sorted
> being out. Not sure who will sign MOU within Military Service.

>

> DHS-DOD and HHS-DOD discussions are on-going at various levels. The daily
> call brings DHS and HHS reps for updates on alternate days. DHS has a formal
> request for assistance with details to date. HHS has only formally requested
> DoD assess our capability to support and that HHS would formally request for
> assistance if necessary. AF has a path forward to working with HHS on
> Goodfellow NEPA.

>

> I've included the list of the Army reps/alts currently participating
> OSD(Policy) daily housing support call.

>

> V/r,

>

> (b) (6)

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: (b) (6)

> Sent: Monday, July 2, 2018 3:05 PM

> To: (b) (6)

[REDACTED]

(b) (6)

> Subject: RE: Call from ACSIM - NEPA @ Fort Bliss

>

> (b) (6) Thank you. Appears from your note that "CEQ Emergency provisions"
> are not applicable. From what I am hearing, it does not appear that the 14
> days could be reduced, as there are other permitting considerations in
> parallel with REC dates. Could you provide us with the latest status of any
> other elements of this action (DoD position/MOU funding/DHS-DoD
> discussions/etc.)?

> V/r, (b) (6)

>

> (b) (6)

> Assistant for Conservation
> Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
> Installations, Energy and Environment
> 110 Army Pentagon, Room 3D453
> Washington DC 20310-1001

> (b) (6)

(b) (6)

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: (b) (6)

> Sent: Monday, July 2, 2018 2:32 PM

> To: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

> Subject: Call from ACSIM - NEPA @ Fort Bliss

>

> Got a call from (b) (6) (ACSIM) today about the Army's Record of
> Environmental Consideration. He shared a concern about meeting the deadline
> for the support effort. He explained that (b) (6) stated that it takes Army 14
> days to complete the REC and that I was working with CEQ to support reduced
> timeframes. I responded that I had not spoken with you lately but that CEQ
> essentially said they don't get involved unless the proposal is an emergency
> with likelihood of significant impacts. Also I explained that I had no
> insight into the Army REC process and I could not answer why it takes 14 days
> and could it be reduced.

>

> V/r,

>

> (b) (6)

>

>

> Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Environment, Safety and
> Occupational Health)
> 4800 Mark Center Drive (Box #56)
> Suite 16G14
> Alexandria, VA 22350-3605

> (b) (6)

(b) (6)

>

>
> <army pocs.xlsx>